

# **Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/960,125

Applicant(s)

LI ET AL.

Examiner

Steven H. Rao

Art Unit

2814

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Steven H. Rao.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Anthony M. Martinez ( 44,223).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 02/25/03, 03/04/03

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 to 18

Identification of prior art discussed: none


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ex. Rao called Mr. Martinez on 2/25/03 and indicated that the claims as presently recited do not comply with requirements of MPEP 105 and 37 CFR 7.01 and 7.02, namely that the claims are not clear enough to conduct a reasonable search because of the terms, "first, second and third topologies" in all the pending claims are not specific enough to form a reasonable search. Mr. Martinez said he would review the case and call back. Mr. Martinez left a message that he agrees the present claims are non-complaint and that he will cancel all pending claims and present a new set of claims, however he needed additional time to do so. Ex. Rao informed Mr. Martinez that he would send out a communication giving Mr. Martinez 30 days and if necessary Mr. Martinez can obtain additional extensions up to 5 more months by paying the necessary extension fees.